THE MARSHALL PLAN (1947)

When World War II ended in 1945, Europe lay in ruins: its cities were shattered; its economies were devastated; its people faced famine. In the two years after the war, the Soviet Union's control of Eastern Europe and the vulnerability of Western European countries to Soviet expansionism

On June 5, 1947, Secretary of State George C. Marshall spoke at Harvard University and outlined what would become known as the Marshall Plan. Europe, still devastated by the war, had just survived one of the worst winters on record. The nations of Europe had nothing to sell for hard currency, and the democratic socialist governments in most countries were unwilling to adopt the draconian proposals for recovery advocated by old-line classical economists. Something had to be done, both for humanitarian reasons and also to stop the potential spread of communism westward.

The United States offered up to \$20 billion for relief, but only if the European nations could get together and draw up a rational plan on how they would use the aid. For the first time, they would have to act as a single economic unit; they would have to cooperate with each other. Marshall also offered aid to the Soviet Union and its allies in eastern Europe, but Stalin denounced the program as a trick and refused to participate. The Russian rejection probably made passage of the measure through Congress possible.

On December 19, 1947, President Harry Truman sent Congress a message that followed Marshall's ideas to provide economic aid to Europe. Congress overwhelmingly passed the Economic Cooperation Act of 1948. On April 3, 1948, President Truman signed the act that became known as the Marshall Plan.

Over the next four years, Congress appropriated \$13.3 billion for European recovery. This aid provided much needed capital and materials that enabled Europeans to rebuild the continent's economy. For the United States, the Marshall Plan provided markets for American goods, created reliable trading partners, and supported the development of stable democratic governments in Western Europe. Congress's approval of the Marshall Plan signaled an extension of the bipartisanship of World War II into the postwar years.

The Marshall Plan, it should be noted, benefited the American economy as well. The money would be used to buy goods from the United States, and they had to be shipped across the Atlantic on American merchant vessels. But it worked. By 1953, the United States had pumped in \$13 billion, and Europe was standing on its feet again. Moreover, the Plan included West Germany, which was thus reintegrated into the European community. (The aid was all economic; it did not include military aid until after the Korean War.)

I need not tell you gentlemen that the world situation is very serious. That must be apparent to all intelligent people. I think one difficulty is that the problem is one of such enormous complexity that the very mass of facts presented to the public by press and radio make it exceedingly difficult for the man in the street to reach a clear appraisement of the situation. Furthermore, the people of this country are distant from the troubled areas of the earth and it is hard for them to comprehend the plight and consequent reaction of the long-suffering peoples, and the effect of those reactions on their governments in connection with our efforts to promote peace in the world.

In considering the requirements for the rehabilitation of Europe the physical loss of life, the visible destruction of cities, factories, mines, and railroads was correctly estimated, but it has become obvious during recent months that this visible destruction was probably less serious than the dislocation of the entire fabric of European economy. For the past 10 years conditions have been highly abnormal. The feverish maintenance of the war effort engulfed all aspects of national economics. Machinery has fallen into disrepair or is entirely obsolete. Under the arbitrary and destructive Nazi rule, virtually every possible enterprise was geared into the German war machine. Long-standing commercial ties, private institutions, banks, insurance companies and shipping companies disappeared, through the loss of capital, absorption through nationalization or by simple destruction. In many countries, confidence in the local currency has been severely shaken. The breakdown of the business structure of Europe during the war was complete. Recovery has been seriously retarded by the fact that 2 years after the close of hostilities a peace settlement with Germany and Austria has not been agreed upon. But even given a more prompt solution of these difficult problems, the rehabilitation of the economic structure of Europe quite evidently will require a much longer time and greater effort than had been foreseen.

There is a phase of this matter which is both interesting and serious. The farmer has always produced the foodstuffs to exchange with the city dweller for the other necessities of life. This division of labor is the basis of modern civilization. At the present time it is threatened with breakdown. The town and city industries are not producing adequate goods to exchange with the food-producing farmer. Raw materials and fuel are in short supply. Machinery is lacking or worn out. The farmer or the peasant cannot find the goods for sale which he desires to purchase. So the sale of his farm produce for money which he cannot use seems to him unprofitable transaction. He, therefore, has withdrawn many fields from crop cultivation and is using them for grazing. He feeds more grain to stock and finds for himself and his family an ample supply of food, however short he may be on clothing and the other ordinary gadgets of civilization. Meanwhile people in the cities are short of food and fuel. So the governments are forced to use their foreign money and credits to procure these necessities abroad. This process exhausts funds which are urgently needed for reconstruction. Thus a very serious situation is rapidly developing which bodes no good for the world. The modern system of the division of labor upon which the exchange of products is based is in danger of breaking down.

The truth of the matter is that Europe's requirements for the next 3 or 4 years of foreign food and other essential products-principally from America-are so much greater than her present ability to pay that she must have substantial additional help, or face economic, social, and political deterioration of a very grave character.

The remedy lies in breaking the vicious circle and restoring the confidence of the European people in the economic future of their own countries and of Europe as a whole. The manufacturer and the farmer throughout wide areas must be able and willing to exchange their products for currencies the continuing value of which is not open to question.

Aside from the demoralizing effect on the world at large and the possibilities of disturbances arising as a result of the desperation of the people concerned, the consequences to the economy of the United States should be apparent to all. It is logical that the United States should do whatever it is able to do to assist in the return of normal economic health in the world, without which there can be no political stability and no assured peace. Our policy is directed not against any country or doctrine but against hunger, poverty, desperation, and chaos. Its purpose should be the revival of working economy in the world so as to permit the emergence of political and social conditions in which free institutions can exist. Such assistance, I am convinced, must not be on a piecemeal basis as various crises develop. Any assistance that this Government may render in the future should provide a cure rather than a mere palliative. Any government that is willing to assist in the task of recovery will find full cooperation, I am sure, on the part of the United States Government. Any government which maneuvers to block the recovery of other countries cannot expect help from us. Furthermore, governments, political parties, or groups which seek to perpetuate human misery in order to profit therefrom politically or otherwise will encounter the opposition of the United States. It is already evident that, before the United States Government can proceed much further in its efforts to alleviate the situation and help start the European world on its way to recovery, there must be some agreement among the countries of Europe as to the requirements of the situation and the part those countries themselves will take in order to give proper effect to whatever action might be undertaken by this Government. It would be neither fitting nor efficacious for this Government to undertake to draw up unilaterally a program designed to place Europe on its feet economically. This is the business of the Europeans. The initiative, I think, must come from Europe. The role of this country should consist of friendly aid in the drafting of a European program so far as it may be practical for us to do so. The program should be a joint one, agreed to by a number, if not all

An essential part of any successful action on the part of the United States is an understanding on the part of the people of America of the character of the problem and the remedies to be applied. Political passion and prejudice should have no part. With foresight, and a willingness on the part of our people to face up to the vast responsibilities which history has clearly placed upon our country, the difficulties I have outlined can and will be overcome.

- 1. In Marshall's view, the basis of modern civilization is
 - the division of labor between farms and cities.
 - the will of free people to help oppressed people.
 - the fear of international warfare.
 - D. the importance of treaties.
- According to the document, the Nazis damaged the European economy by
 - A. gearing it into their war machine.
 - B. stealing from foreign countries.
- C. creating distrust of commercial ties.
- D. bombing farms and factories.
- 3. Europe's requirement of foreign food would be problematic mostly because
 - A. most governments would exploit the help.
 - B. America would not be able to transport enough food.

 C. farmers in Europe would be driven out of her.
 - C. farmers in Europe would be driven out of business.
 - D. Europe did not have enough money to pay for it.
- Marshall considered the visible destruction left by the war to be less serious than the
 - A. power of Communism.
 - B. challenges to America.
 - C. warping of Europe's economy.
 - D. disillusionment of Germany's people.

5.	The American people have difficulty comprehending Europe's problems for all of the following reasons except for the fact that
	A. the press cannot report the facts clearly. B. Europe's situation is very complex. C. Americans are not interested in helping. D. America is far away from the suffering.
6.	Which of the following would the Marshall Plan be directed against?
	A. doctrines B. poverty
	B. poverty C. a specific country
	D. former Nazi leaders
7.	What actions do you think Europe had to undertake to get the greatest benefit from the Marshall Plan? What role should America have played in this? Explain your reasoning.
	versition the wholes the converte books being a particular of the seek majorn convertible and the converte books will be a converte books by the converte books but believes
	<u> Santania (l. 1885), presidente de la companio del la companio de la companio del la companio de la companio del la companio de la companio de la companio de la companio de la companio del la companio del la companio del la companio de la companio de la companio del la companio del</u>
	nteritation of the contract of the contract of the state of the contract of th
	932 aproon that had to A oracle brang categories and the managery servations for the constituents.
	continuences. Lane account of view we entropy of the ready WT but require companies from
	Commission with a few systems of the few and I would be the industrial for the commission of the commi
	assessed for politics of his was I times he matrix pay for who store he can been which is store
	ting I program to the street street in table 1989. If which on the time of the street street is a street of the
	nerging figure and with a management of the property of the pr
	I beginne have been appoint to to it in apply mostly the ballot many which we have it has office, and
	a wear straw to exercise and area fundamental exercise that the control of the co
	TWO MANAGES AND SERVICE AND THE CONTRACT OF SERVICE AND ADMINISTRATION OF SERVICE AND ADMINISTRA
	The state of the s
	A STREET WALL AND LIKE VALUE AND A PROPERTY OF VERSION OF THE PARTY OF THE VERSION OF THE VALUE OF THE VALUE OF
	The state of the s
	entre de la company de la c La company de la company d
	The state of the s
	The second of the second second second second with the second second second second second second second second